13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 1 FALAKASSA LAW, P.C. Joshua S. Falakassa (Cal Bar No. 295045) 2 josh@falakassalaw.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 3 Los Angeles, California 90067 4 Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868 5 **BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.** Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq. (Cal Bar No. 285256) 6 mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com 7 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067 8 Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Classes 10 11

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

ADRIAN AGUILAR, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

FISHER RANCH CORPORATION, a California Corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CVRI2201059

Assigned to the Hon. Harold W. Hopp

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT THEREON

14

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

26

25

2728

The Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement (the "Final Approval Motion") as set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") came for a hearing on January 16, 2024, in Department 1 of the above-entitled court. The Settlement Agreement is titled "Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims" and was included as Exhibit "B" to the Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement filed on December 19, 2023, which is available the Court's website at: https://epublicat access.riverside.courts.ca.gov/public-portal/?q=paidRecords/document/case/CVRI2201059.

A copy of the Settlement Agreement is also on file with the Court and available to Class Members who request it by contacting the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, Inc. (P50 Corporate Park, Irvine, CA 92606, Telephone: (949) 428-1084)

The Final Approval Motion was unopposed by Defendant Fisher Ranch Corporation ("Defendant").

Having considered the Final Approval Motion, the Settlement Agreement, the Declarations, and all other materials properly before the Court and having conducted an inquiry pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(g), the Court finds that all parties entered the Settlement Agreement in good faith, and the Settlement Agreement is approved. Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class, and the Court having considered the Settlement Agreement, all papers filed, and proceedings had herein, and all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement, and having reviewed the record in this Action, and good cause appearing,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Judgment and Order ("Judgment"), refers to all defined terms (*i.e.*, terms with initial capitalization) as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action, the Class Representative, the Class Members, the PAGA Employees and claim, and Defendant.
- 3. The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice, as provided for in the Order Granting Preliminary Approval for the Settlement, constituted the best notice practicable

under the circumstances to all Class Members and fully met the requirements of California law and due process under the California and United States Constitution. Based on evidence and other material submitted, the actual notice to the class was adequate.

- 4. The Court finds that the instant Action presented a good faith dispute of the claims alleged, and the Court finds in favor of settlement approval. Specifically, the claims on behalf of the Class Members, which Defendant disputed, included all claims that were asserted or that could have been asserted based on the facts alleged in Plaintiff's original Complaint and Amended Complaint, whether known or unknown, including without limitation all known and unknown claims arising out of any alleged failure to pay all minimum, regular, and overtime wages, failure to provide meal periods or premium payments in lieu thereof, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to reimburse necessary business expenses, failure to timely pay all wages due at separation, violation of California Business & Professions Code, sections 17200 et seq., and any and all related penalties that arose during the Class Period. Plaintiff also alleged PAGA claims based on these underlying alleged claims, as further specified in Plaintiff's Complaint, Amended Complaint, and the Labor and Workforce Development ("LWDA") notice letter.
- 5. No Class Member objected to the Settlement, and no Class Member requested exclusion from the Settlement. All Participating Class Members are entitled to payment pursuant to the Settlement and this Judgment.
- 6. The Court approves the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and each of the releases and other terms, as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate as to the Settling Parties. The Settling Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Settling Parties are to bear their own fees and costs, except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. For purposes of effectuating this Order and Judgment, this Court has certified the following class: "All current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant at any time during the period of March 14, 2018, through June 14, 2023." The Court deems this

definition sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court, rule 3.765(a).

- 9. With respect to the Settlement Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement, this Court finds and concludes as follows: (a) the Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class Members, and there is a well-defined community of interest among the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Class Members; (e) class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for the Plaintiff in his individual and representative capacity and for the Settlement Class.
- 10. By this Judgment, the Class Representative shall release, relinquish, and discharge, and each of the Participating Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment, shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as defined and specified in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. By this Judgement, Plaintiff and the State of California (acting through Plaintiff as its deputized representative), and each PAGA Employee, will forever completely release and discharge the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims, as defined and specified in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Plaintiff may not use this Final Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement as an admission by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. This Final Approval Order is not a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or any wrongdoing by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- The Court finds that the Settlement Amount of \$600,000 is fair, reasonable, and adequate and awards the payments set forth below from the Settlement Amount:

- A) \$200,000 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees in light of the benefit obtained on behalf of the Class, which shall be split as follows: 25% to Falakassa Law, P.C.; 25% to Bokhour Law Group, P.C. and 50% to Crosner Legal, P.C.;
- B) \$8,351.88 to Class Counsel for costs/expenses, which shall be awarded as follows: \$4,180.45 to Bokhour Law Group, P.C. and 4,171.43 to Crosner Legal, P.C.;
  - C) \$5,000 to Class Representative Adrian Aguilar as a Service Award;
  - D) \$10,000 to the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, Inc.;
- E) A PAGA Award of \$25,000, consisting of \$18,750 to the LWDA and \$6,250 to PAGA Employees and
- F) After deducting the foregoing payments from the Settlement Amount, the remainder shall form the Net Settlement Amount payable to the Participating Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and as calculated by the Settlement Administrator.
- 14. With the sole exception of employer-side taxes due on individual settlement payments to Participating Class Members (which Defendant will pay in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount), the Gross Settlement Amount is the maximum amount that Defendant will pay for any reason in connection with the Settlement Agreement or this Order.
- 15. The Settlement Administrator is directed to calculate the Participating Class Member's Individual Settlement Payments from the Net Settlement Amount and issue all payments within in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the timeline set forth below:

60 days after this Order is entered	The Effective Date occurs.
Within five days of the Effective Date	Defendant shall issue the payment to the Settlement Administrator.
Within seven days after receipt of Payment	Settlement Administrator to issue all Individual Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members, payment to Class Counsel for the Attorneys' Fees and Costs Award, the Service Payment to the Class Representative, and the payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

180 days after payment is issued	Deadline for Class Members to cash checks.
5 Court days prior to the non-appearance hearing re: status of disbursement	Counsel to file a declaration from the Settlement Administrator setting forth the disbursements that were actually made, including any uncashed checks and the status of the process of forwarding unclaimed funds to the State Controller.
January 16, 2025	Non-appearance hearing re: status of disbursement, filing of Declaration from Administrator.

15. Concurrently with mailing the settlement checks to the Class Members, the Settlement Administrator shall include a Notice of Entry of Judgment to all Class Members either on a postcard or as a detachable portion of the check for the Participating Class Members, noting the following: "Please be advised that on [insert date], 2024, the Superior Court of California for the County of Riverside entered Judgment in the case entitled Aguilar v. Fisher Ranch Corporation, Case No. CVRI2201059, on behalf of all current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant at any time from March 14, 2018, through June 14, 2023, pursuant to an approved settlement of disputed claims." In addition, the Settlement Administrator shall ensure the envelope transmitting the settlement distribution checks to Class Members bears the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED," and mail a reminder postcard to any Class Member whose settlement distribution check has not been negotiated within 60 days after the date of mailing. Furthermore, if (i) any of the Class Members are current employees of Defendant, (ii) the distribution mailed to those employees is returned to the Settlement Administrator as being undeliverable, and (iii) the Settlement Administrator is unable to locate a valid mailing address, the Settlement Administrator shall arrange with Defendant to have those distributions delivered to the employees at their place of employment.

16. The Class Members shall have 180 days from the date of issuance by the Settlement Administrator to negotiate the settlement check. If a Class Member does not negotiate his/her check within this time period, the check will be canceled. The value of the

1	unclaimed funds in the Settlement Administrator's account due to a failure to cash a settlement			
2	check in a timely manner shall be issued to the State Controller's Office for the State of			
3	California in the name of the Class Member.			
4	17. This document shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rule of			
5	Court 3.769(h). The Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Action, the			
6	Class Representative, the Class Members, and Defendant for the purposes of supervising the			
7	implementation, enforcement, construction, administration, and interpretation of the Settlement			
8	Agreement and this Judgment.			
9				
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
11	01/17/2024	Herold EJ. Her		
12	Dated:	WON WAR OLD WALLOW		
13		HON. HAROLD W. HOPP JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				